TIGARD CITY COUNCIL MEETING

August 20, 2002 6:30 p.m.
TIGARD CITY HALL
13125 SW HALL BLVD
TIGARD, OR 97223



PUBLIC NOTICE:

Assistive Listening Devices are available for persons with impaired hearing and should be scheduled for Council meetings by noon on the Monday prior to the Council meeting. Please call 503-639-4171, Ext. 309 (voice) or 503-684-2772 (TDD - Telecommunications Devices for the Deaf).

Upon request, the City will also endeavor to arrange for the following services:

- Qualified sign language interpreters for persons with speech or hearing impairments;
 and
- Qualified bilingual interpreters.

Since these services must be scheduled with outside service providers, it is important to allow as much lead-time as possible. Please notify the City of your need by 5:00 p.m. on the Thursday preceding the meeting date by calling:

503-639-4171, x309 (voice) or 503-684-2772 (TDD - Telecommunications Devices for the Deaf).

SEE ATTACHED AGENDA

A G E N D A TIGARD CITY COUNCIL WORKSHOP MEETING August 20, 2002

6:30 PM

- WORKSHOP MEETING
 - 1.1 Call to Order City Council
 - 1.2 Roll Call
 - 1.3 Pledge of Allegiance
 - 1.4 Council Communications & Liaison Reports
 - 1.5 Call to Council and Staff for Non Agenda Items
- 2. SOCIAL SERVICE AGENCY PRESENTATIONS DISCUSSION WITH TIGARD CITY COUNCIL AND BUDGET COMMITTEE
 - Community Action Organization
 - ❖ Tualatin Valley Centers
 - Sexual Assault Resource Center
 - Domestic Violence Center
 - a. Staff Report: Finance Staff
 - b. Council and Budget Committee Discussion
- 3. REVIEW OF URBAN RENEWAL FUNDAMENTALS
 - a. Staff Report and Review of Fundamentals: Community Development Staff and Legal Counsel
 - b. Council Discussion
- 4. PRESENTATION OF BULL MOUNTAIN SURVEY RESULTS AND NON-ISLAND ANNEXATION POLICY DISCUSSION
 - a. Staff Report: Community Development Staff
 - b. Council Discussion
- 5. COUNCIL LIAISON REPORTS
- 6. NON-AGENDA ITEMS

7. EXECUTIVE SESSION: The Tigard City Council may go into Executive Session. If an Executive Session is called to order, the appropriate ORS citation will be announced identifying the applicable statute. All discussions are confidential and those present may disclose nothing from the Session. Representatives of the news media are allowed to attend Executive Sessions, as provided by ORS 192.660(3), but must not disclose any information discussed. No Executive Session may be held for the purpose of taking any final action or making any final decision. Executive Sessions are closed to the public.

8. ADJOURNMENT

I:\ADM\CATHY\CCA\020820.DOC

AGENDA ITEM#	
FOR AGENDA OF	August 20, 2002

CITY OF TIGARD, OREGON COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

ISSUE/AGENDA TITLE				
PREPARED BY: <u>Craig Prosser</u> DEPT HEAD OK CITY MGR OK				
ISSUE BEFORE THE COUNCIL				
During its review of the FY 2002-03 City of Tigard Budget, the Budget Committee decided that it would be appropriate to schedule some time in the future for a discussion of social services grants. During this session, the Budget Committee will hear from four of the 11 social service grant recipients.				
STAFF RECOMMENDATION				
Hear social service agency presentations on programs, services, and future funding needs and discuss issues.				
DIFFORM (AFROM GARDA)				

<u>INFORMATION SUMMARY</u>

The Budget Committee requested a review of social service grant recipient programs, services, and future funding needs for several reasons:

- 1. It has been some time since social services grantees have been invited to discuss their programs with the Budget Committee.
- 2. The Committee is interested in finding out more information about exactly how grant funds are used to provide services to Tigard residents and how many residents (rather than non-residents) are served.
- 3. A concern that some grant recipients have come to view the City of Tigard grants as an entitlement rather than a grant.
- 4. Concerns over projected declines in City of Tigard General Fund balances, which will tend to limit the amount of funds available for social services grants.

Accordingly, the Budget Committee has set aside one hour during three City Council workshop sessions, August 20, November 19, and January 14 to hear presentations from grant recipients and to discuss service and funding issues with them.

Four social service grant recipients are scheduled for the August 20 meeting. The grant recipient and the amount granted by the City for the past several years are:

<u>Recipient</u>	FY 1999-00	FY 2000-01	FY 2001-02	FY 2002-03
Community Action Organization (Neighborshare)	\$11,000	\$11,000	\$15,000	\$15,000
Tualatin Valley Centers	\$25,000	\$18,000	\$18,000	\$15,000

sault R	esource Center	\$1,000	\$2,000	\$3,000	\$4,000
Violend	ce Center	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$4,000	\$5,000
ning gr	ant recipients will meet with t	the Budget Committee	in November a	and January.	
	-	_		-	
	OTHER AL	TERNATIVES CONSI	DERED		
	VISION TASK FORCE GO.	AL AND ACTION CO	MMITTEE ST	RATEGY	
	A	TTACHMENT LIST			
		FISCAL NOTES			
		FISCAL NUTES			

No costs at this time. The discussion may impact future funding decisions

AGENDA ITEM # _	
FOR AGENDA OF	8/20/02

CITY OF TIGARD, OREGON COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

ISSUE/AGENDA TITLE <u>Urban Re</u>	enewal Fundamentals			
PREPARED BY: Barbara Shields	DEPT HEAD OK	CITY MGR OK		
	ISSUE BEFORE THE COUNC			
An introductory discussion on urban reno Norville will discuss the fundamental ele	•	m Ramis, City Attorney, and Oliver		
	STAFF RECOMMENDATIO	<u>DN</u>		
No action necessary.				
	INFORMATION SUMMAR	RY		
Regional Center Implementation Programincludes three major steps: 1) Evaluati	m, including a funding strategy. ion of the existing fees; 2) Coree steps together with a detailed	In general, the funding strategy approach insideration of potential new fees; and 3) d work program discussed in the financial		
Given the overall funding need for the Washington Square Regional Center improvements, it is recognized that urban renewal is one of the funding options of the funding strategy. Following the Council recommendation from the July 16 meeting, Tim Ramis, City Attorney, and Oliver Norville, one of the most experienced agency attorneys in the state, will discuss the fundamental elements of urban renewal. Mr. Norville's presentation will include the following issues: 1)Authority to form an urban renewal agency; 2)Procedure in creating an urban renewal district; 3)Urban renewal plan; 4)Governance and administration of urban renewal agencies; 5)Urban renewal advisory boards; 6) Urban renewal projects; 7)Tax increment financing.				
<u>OTH</u>	IER ALTERNATIVES CONSIL	DERED		
N/A				
VISION TASK FOR	CE GOAL AND ACTION COM	MITTEE STRATEGY		

Growth and Growth Management Goal #2: Urban services are provided to all citizens within Tigard's urban

I:\ADM\Packet '02\20020820\03 Urban Renewal AIS.doc

growth boundary and recipients of services pay their share.

	ATTACHMENT LIST	
None.		
	FISCAL NOTES	
3 T / A		

AGENDA ITEM#_	
FOR AGENDA OF	8/20/02

CITY OF TIGARD, OREGON COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

ISSUE/AGENDA	TITLE	Presentation Discussion	of Bull Mountain Survey	Results and Non-Island Annexation Policy
PREPARED BY:	Barbara Sl		DEPT HEAD OK	CITY MGR OK
		221	UE BEFORE THE COU	NCII
		100	OL BEFORE THE COOL	<u>NCIL</u>
regarding annexat	ion and rela	ited issues. Th		urvey of Bull Mountain and Tigard residents previous City efforts over the past year, will
		<u>S7</u>	TAFF RECOMMENDAT	ION
Review non-island	d annexation	n policy option	ns for a decision August 27	7 th .
		<u>II</u>	NFORMATION SUMMA	<u>RY</u>
as Bull Mountain, 2001, the City has study, an open hor City hired Riley R on issues related to The results of the	, and directors been explosuse with restesearch Asso annexation survey (Attachment 2 is	ed staff to stud oring annexation idents, and mo sociates to poll on and methods achment 1) car	ly the feasibility of annex n of the Bull Mountain are est recently, a phone surve both Bull Mountain and of annexation, including a n be used in the formation	nnexation policy for non-island areas, such ting the Bull Mountain area. Since July ea through a focus group with residents, a y. In June 2002, the County along with the Tigard residents to determine their attitudes an annexation plan. on developing strategies for non-island includes a summary of major observations
		OTHER	ALTERNATIVES CON	SIDERED
N/A				
	VISION T	ASK FORCE	GOAL AND ACTION C	OMMITTEE STRATEGY
Growth and Grow boundary and reci				ed to all citizens within Tigard's urban growth
		-		

ATTACHMENT LIST

Attachment 1: Bull Mountain Annexation Public Attitude Survey Attachment 2: Memo from Jim Hendryx dated August 2, 2002

FISCAL NOTES

N/A



MEMORANDUM

CITY OF TIGARD

TO: Mayor Griffith and City Council

FROM: Jim Hendryx

DATE: August 2, 2002

SUBJECT: Bull Mountain Annexation Survey Draft Report

Riley Research Associates has issued its draft report, entitled "Bull Mountain Annexation: Public Attitude Survey." While Riley finalizes the report for inclusion in the Aug. 20th Council packet, the following is a summary of major observations.

Survey Objectives

The primary objective of the survey was to examine both City of Tigard and Bull Mountain residents' attitudes on issues related to annexation and methods of annexation, including an annexation plan. In general, the annexation plan is an agreement between the City and the area to be annexed, and both areas would vote on the plan with the overall majority ruling.

The second survey objective was to identify residents' degree of acceptance of annexation-related issues, and demographic profiles for targeting future public outreach. The public outreach plan would be developed if Council decides to proceed with an annexation plan.

Methodology

The survey presented the annexation-related issues as "tradeoffs." For example, how would annexation affect residents (Tigard and Bull Mountain), and would they perceive this as a gain or a loss? The surveyors read a list of statements to determine if certain issues made respondents less or more likely to support an annexation.

Survey Findings

The Annexation Process

1) City of Tigard residents clearly are more supportive of annexing Bull Mountain than Bull Mountain residents. Yet, both Bull Mountain and City of Tigard residents are less supportive of annexation if it occurs with majority support from Tigard, regardless of whether or not Bull Mountain residents support the idea. As this refers to the annexation plan process, it points to residents' perception of fairness in deciding others' fate. However, it should be noted that this question did not significantly affect support of annexation at the end of the survey.

Taxes

2) Taxes are a high concern for residents, both for Tigard and Bull Mountain. This issue looms large, as the majority of Bull Mountain residents do not perceive any increased benefits corresponding with their increased taxes.

Education and Outreach

- 3) Education/outreach would work primarily for the undecided. The survey performed an education function by making respondents aware of annexation-related issues. For Tigard residents who were undecided at the survey start, 18 percent were in favor at the survey's completion. For Bull Mountain, the number of undecided respondents who became supporters was not high enough to arrive at majority support among Bull Mountain residents.
- 4) Some residents' concerns i.e., schools, police can be resolved through education. They are a matter of answering questions with the facts.
- 5) Based on verbatim comments from Bull Mountain residents, residents have misperceptions regarding Washington County vs. Tigard's current role in their area. Another education effort could include dispelling these misperceptions by emphasizing how Tigard governs and provides services. It should also explain the County's policy (County 2000 plan) that cities will eventually provide urban services for these urban unincorporated areas, not the County.

Values Which Affect Respondents' Position

- 6) Swing factors that moved overall respondents from a negative or neutral position on annexation to a positive one are the following:
 - a) Having new development pay for parks and open spaces, such as Systems Development Charges (SDCs);
 - b) Bull Mountain sharing in the expense of streets and road maintenance.
 - c) Bull Mountain sharing in the expense of the new Tigard Library;
 - d) Bull Mountain receiving an increased level of political representation;

These issues could be helpful during an outreach effort.

Regarding a demographic profile for future outreach, the consultants will include this information in the final report, due next week. This information will allow us to see which segments of the population are more supportive of the annexation and its associated issues.

August 20

Councilors will receive the final report in the August 20th packet. The consultants will present their findings at the August 20th work session, followed by a non-island annexation policy discussion.

Attachments:

Attachment 1: DRAFT "Bull Mountain Annexation Public Attitude Survey"

August 6, 2	002
TO:	Barbara Shields / Beth St. Amand / Jim Hendryx CITY OF TIGARD
	Anne Madden WASHINGTON COUNTY
FROM:	Michael J. Riley / Scott M. Davis RILEY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES
RE:	BULL MOUNTAIN ANNEXATION PUBLIC ATTITUDE SURVEY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW	1
INTRODUCTION	3
METHODOLOGY	3
CONCLUSIONS	5
RESULTS	7
DEMOGRAPHICS	30

APPENDIX: Questionnaire



EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

Introduction & Methodology

Riley Research Associates was asked by the City of Tigard and Washington County to conduct a scientific telephone survey among City of Tigard and Bull Mountain residents. The purpose of the study was to hear from a representative cross section of the potentially impacted population regarding the awareness and opinions of the citizens relating to the City's potential annexation of Bull Mountain, while providing a gauge of current annexation support and opposition levels.

Riley Research Associates, with input from the City of Tigard and Washington County, developed the research plan and questionnaire. Respondents were selected at random from County voter records. A stratified sample was utilized to ensure representative subsamples for each population. A total of 305 interviews were completed between July 8 and July 16, 2002. ensuring a sampling error no larger than +/-5.8% at a 95% level of confidence for the sample as a whole and +/-8% for each of the two subsamples. Calls were made between 5:00 p.m. and 9:00 p.m. Monday through Friday.

In addition to looking at each area independently, geographic responses were weighted to reflect actual population proportions throughout the sampling area in the final analysis. Both the general population and voter records indicated that 85% of the survey's population is from Tigard. As such, the aggregate responses are weighted to reflect this 85/15 split.

Awareness & Initial Support

- Awareness of the annexation issue was relatively high, with 56% of Tigard participants and 71% of <u>Bull Mountain</u> participants being previously aware that the City of Tigard and Washington County are "exploring the idea."
- When asked whether or not they would support the idea of annexation, 49% of all respondents initially said they would support it, while 34% were "on the fence" (or undecided). Just 15% were somewhat or strongly opposed to the annexation.
- Significant differences could be seen between Tigard and Bull Mountain residents. While 52% of Tigard residents initially supported annexation, just 30% of Bull Mountain residents did. Similarly, while just 10% of Tigard residents initially opposed annexation, 44% of Bull Mountain residents were opposed.

Tradeoff² Analysis & Continued Support

- When asked to evaluate potential tradeoffs, respondents overall were most likely to support the annexation if it would result in the contribution of money toward parks and open spaces (84%). followed by the sharing of street and road costs (76%), the sharing of the expense of building a new Tigard library (75%), and the gain in Bull Mountain's political representation (74%).
- The tradeoffs that received the most support from Bull Mountain respondents included the contribution of money toward parks and open spaces (57%), the gain in Bull Mountain's political representation (54%), and the sharing of the expense of building a new Tigard library (52%). Tigard residents showed the most support for the contribution of money toward parks and open spaces (89%), the sharing of street and road costs (82%), and the sharing of Library building costs (79%).

citizens or another.



¹ Unless otherwise specified, "support" represents a combination of those who strongly supported and those who somewhat supported annexation. ² Tradeoff: The following items represent potential results of annexation that may be a "gain" or "loss" for one group of

Does this individual statement make you more likely to support or oppose annexationstrongly or somewhat?	Support	Neutral/ DK	Oppose
Q8. New development on BM contributes money for development of parks and preservation of open-spaces	84%	5%	12%
Q9. BM residents share the cost of providing streets and roads in Tigard / no longer pay WC's urban road maintenance or streetlight district fees	76%	11%	12%
Q7. BM residents help pay for Tigard's new library <u>building</u> , while all <u>continue</u> to pay for library <u>services</u>	75%	9%	15%
Q10. BM residents gain higher level of political representation / likely more tax dollars to local projects	74%	13%	13%
Q6. Replace Enhanced Sheriff's Patrol / Eliminate Enhanced Sheriff Patrol tax	68%	10%	20%
Q12. Decisions regarding school boundaries, zoning, and density levels stay the same	66%	24%	9%
Q5. Increase property taxes for BM residents	66%	7%	26%
Q13. Higher level of code enforcement for BM residents	56%	29%	15%
Q11. Annexation may occur with majority support from within Tigard, regardless of BM support	38%	10%	51%

- Following the list of tradeoffs, the <u>overall</u> support level climbed from 49% to 74%. Support among Tigard residents increased 18% (from 52% to 80%) while support on Bull Mountain climbed 7% (from 30% to 37% within the 8% margin-of-error).
- The least popular tradeoff was that annexation may occur with majority support from within Tigard, regardless of Bull Mountain support (38% support / 51% opposition, overall). However, given the aforementioned increase in overall support after all the tradeoffs were addressed, it did not appear to have a significant impact on the overall results. As an individual argument, respondents reacted negatively to it, but yet, only half (51%) said the argument made them more likely to oppose annexation.

The "Typical" Supporter – Demographic Profile

- Among <u>Tigard</u> respondents, those most likely to <u>initially</u> support annexation included those who had previously been aware that the City of Tigard and Washington County are "exploring the idea" and those in higher income brackets (with those making over \$100,000 being the most likely to initially support it). These groups of supporters may not be surprising, given the foreseeable benefits to Tigard residents (including the expansion of Tigard's tax base) that those who are aware would support.
- Over the course of the interview (Post-tradeoff analysis), two additional support groups could be seen with the <u>Tigard</u> population "younger" respondents and those with children in the household. Respondents in the 18-24 age group showed the highest level of post-tradeoff support (87%), which decreased to 74% among those 65 and over. Nearly nine in ten parents with kids at home also showed support (88% vs. 77%).
- Among <u>Bull Mountain</u> residents, no statistically valid demographic differences appeared to differentiate supporters from non-supporters.

Communications

Overall, respondents most often reported learning about local government from The Oregonian (56%), Tigard Times (47%), TV News (22%), the Cityscape newsletter (20%), and word-of-mouth (19%). As such, these sources should prove to be the most effective in communicating with Tigard and Bull Mountain residents about the issues of annexation.



INTRODUCTION

Riley Research Associates was asked to conduct a scientific telephone survey among City of Tigard and Bull Mountain residents, regarding the City's potential annexation of Bull Mountain. The purpose of the study was to hear from a representative cross section of the potentially impacted population regarding the awareness and opinions of the citizens, while providing a gauge of current annexation support levels.

This study was designed to engage citizens in both the City of Tigard and Bull Mountain, in order to provide a representative examination of the issues facing each population. Key issues addressed included pre- and post-levels of support for annexation, changes in support when tradeoffs were presented, and the development of a demographic profile for the targeting of annexation-related communications to the area's citizens.

METHODOLOGY

Riley Research Associates, with input from the City of Tigard and Washington County, developed the research plan and questionnaire. Boundaries included the City of Tigard and a predetermined Bull Mountain study area. Respondents were selected at random from County voter records. Precincts 397, 400, 402-406, 408-410, 414, and 416 were included. A stratified sample was utilized to ensure representative subsamples for each population.

Fielding took place between July 8 and July 16, 2002, including a pretest. A total of 305 interviews were completed (151 in Tigard and 154 on Bull Mountain), ensuring a sampling error no larger than +/-5.8% at a 95% level of confidence for the sample as a whole and +/-8% for each of the two subsamples. Calls were made between 5:00 p.m. and 9:00 p.m. Monday through Friday.

Sample Characteristics

Respondents were selected at random by name from their voter records, based on their voter precinct. Precincts 397, 410, and 414 were included in the Bull Mountain sample. Voters in precinct 410 were screened based on their nearest cross streets to ensure they resided within the study area.

Among <u>Tigard</u> respondents, 59% were female, 32% had kids at home, and 87% were homeowners. On average, Tigard respondents had voted in 3.1 out of the last four elections. Among <u>Bull Mountain</u> respondents, 52% were female, 42% had kids at home, and 90% were homeowners. On average, Bull Mountain respondents had voted in 2.8 of the last four elections, had a higher average household income, and were newer to their current residence.

In the final analysis, geographic responses were weighted to reflect actual population proportions throughout the sampling area. Both the general population and voter records indicated that 85% of the survey's population is from Tigard. As such, the aggregate responses are weighted to reflect this 85/15 split.



Interpreting the Results

The results are displayed in a question-by-question format, including numeric tables. Relevant subgroup differences found to be statistically significant are cited throughout the body of the report, conclusions, and executive overview³. A copy of the questionnaire can be found in the Appendix; cross tabulations are bound separately.

Each table/question in this report shows the total responses for Tigard and Bull Mountain respondents. These results are <u>not</u> weighted. As you examine these results, it is important to consider the margin of error for each subsample (+/-8% at a 95% level of confidence). As a basic rule, one should expect the differences between answer categories to be at least 8% apart before concluding that the difference is statistically significant. However, it is also important to understand that the margin of error is based on a worst-case 50/50 scenario, in which each response has exactly half of the overall responses.

The cross tabulations used for subsegment analysis have been <u>weighted</u> to reflect the appropriate population proportions for Tigard and Bull Mountain (85/15%). Therefore, references made to the overall sample throughout the report are based on this weighted data. The purpose of the weighting is to understand how the entire population views the annexation, and because Tigard and Bull Mountain's populations are not equal, our unweighted 300-person sample (150 each) would not accurately reflect the overall level of support/opposition.

Cross tabulation analysis is also based on statistical significance, as determined by the chisquare test. As the cross tabulations are examined, one should look for a p-value of 0.05 or less.

³ Statistically valid subgroup differences were measured using the cross tabulations and chi-square test, using a p-value of 0.05 to determine statistical validity.



_

CONCLUSIONS

Clearly, support for annexation is divided at the border between Tigard and unincorporated Bull Mountain. Throughout the survey, Tigard showed significantly higher levels of support from an initial level of 52% (vs. 30% among Bull Mountain respondents) to a peak at 80%, following the series of potential tradeoffs (vs. 37% among Bull Mountain respondents).

However, when Tigard respondents shifted toward their peak support (80%), their vote outweighed Bull Mountain's 53% opposition, producing an area-wide support level of 74% (in the weighted aggregate).

Awareness and issue education appear to be key factors in developing support for the annexation of Bull Mountain. While the entire sample (Tigard and Bull Mountain combined and weighted) produced an initial support level of 49%, only 40% of those previously unaware of the possibility of annexation said they supported the idea (compared to 56% of those who were previously aware).

Therefore, one key to the passage of the annexation appears to lie in spreading awareness among Tigard voters. The initial support level among Tigard participants (52%) would not have been enough to pass the annexation, given Bull Mountain's opposition (only 30% support, with 49% overall support). However, given Tigard's initial lack of awareness (56% previously aware) and change in support over the course of the interview, it is clear that the dissemination of information regarding the issues of annexation should produce positive results for the annexation effort.

A number of the "tradeoffs" explored in the interview proved to have "pro-annexation" impacts on voter's views. This level of "success" can be determined by looking at those "tradeoffs" most likely to have moved people from a negative or neutral viewpoint to a positive one. The following table shows this impact (the percentage of respondents who were initially opposed/neutral to annexation, but provided an answer showing support for the argument):

Does this individual statement make you more likely to support or oppose annexationstrongly or somewhat?	% Moving from Opposition/Neutrality to Support
Q8. New development on BM contributes money for development of parks and preservation of open-spaces	63%
Q7. BM residents help pay for Tigard's new library <u>building</u> , while all <u>continue</u> to pay for library <u>services</u>	58%
Q9. BM residents share the cost of providing streets and roads in Tigard / no longer pay WC's urban road maintenance or streetlight district fees	53%
Q10. BM residents gain higher level of political representation / likely more tax dollars to local projects	52%
Q6. Replace Enhanced Sheriff's Patrol / Eliminate Enhanced Sheriff Patrol tax	45%
Q12. Decisions regarding school boundaries, zoning, and density levels stay the same	44%
Q5. Increase property taxes for BM residents	41%
Q13. Higher level of code enforcement for BM residents	37%
Q11. Annexation may occur with majority support from within Tigard, regardless of BM support	20%
Overall:	45%



As the above table demonstrates, 45% of all respondents providing an initially negative or neutral response when asked about annexation were swung to the positive side by the "tradeoffs" discussed. The strongest of these points (having the greatest swing impact) included: 1) the additional contribution of money for the development of parks and open-spaces, 2) the sharing of the expense of building a new Tigard library, 3) the sharing of the expense of streets and road maintenance, and 4) the higher level of political representation made available to Bull Mountain residents.

Regarding the communication of these messages, it is clear that The Oregonian, Tigard Times, TV News, Cityscape newsletter, and word-of-mouth are the strongest vehicles through which to promote the benefits, or "tradeoffs" of annexation.

Subsegment Analysis

In your efforts to target key audiences and build overall support, it may be necessary to move beyond citywide messaging to a niche communications effort. While the executive overview highlights those who support annexation, it is also important to examine those most likely to stand in opposition in order to concentrate your efforts on those needing to be "swung."

While no statistically valid demographic differences appeared to differentiate Bull Mountain supporters from non-supporters, some key differences were apparent among Tigard residents. Those most likely to <u>initially</u> oppose annexation included those who had <u>not</u> previously been aware that the City of Tigard and Washington County are "exploring the idea" and those in lower income brackets. Over the course of the interview, two additional opponent groups came into play – "older" respondents and those without children in the household. Of course, it is important to look closely at these numbers, because while statistically significant, "opponents" still showed a very high level of support -- 74% among those 65 and over and 77% among those without kids at home.



RESULTS⁴

Q1. Before this call, were you aware that the City of Tigard and Washington County were exploring the idea of Tigard annexing the Bull Mountain area?

Overall, three in five respondents said they were aware that the City of Tigard and Washington County were exploring the idea of annexing Bull Mountain (59%), including 56% of Tigard residents and 71% of Bull Mountain residents.

Older respondents tended to be more likely to be aware of the exploration of annexation as reflected by the fact that those who had lived 20 or more years at their current residence (66%), those aged 55 and above (65% and 66%), and those who had voted in all of the last 4 elections (72%) were all significantly more likely to know about the possibility of annexation. Females were also more likely than males to know about the possibility of annexation (65% vs. 50%).

Location

	Tigard	Bull Mountain
Total Participants	151	154
Yes No Refused	56% 43 1	71% 29 -

⁴ Unless otherwise stated, question-by-question analysis refers to the overall sample, not specifically Bull Mountain or Tigard respondents.



4

Q2/2b. (From what you may have heard) Would you say that you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose the annexation of Bull Mountain?

Approximately half of respondents supported the annexation of Bull Mountain (49%), while an additional 34% were "on the fence" or undecided. Just 15% were somewhat or strongly opposed to the annexation.

Significant differences could be seen in initial support levels between Tigard and Bull Mountain residents. While 52% of Tigard residents supported annexation, just 30% of Bull Mountain residents did. Similarly, while just 10% of Tigard residents opposed annexation, 44% of Bull Mountain residents showed opposition.

Among <u>Tigard</u> respondents, those most likely to <u>initially</u> support annexation included those who had previously been aware that the City of Tigard and Washington County are "exploring the idea" and those in higher income brackets (with those making over \$100,000 being the most likely to initially support it). These groups of supporters may not be surprising, given the foreseeable benefits to Tigard residents (including the expansion of Tigard's tax base) that those who are <u>aware</u> would support. Among Bull Mountain residents, no statistically valid demographic differences appeared to differentiate supporters from non-supporters.

Previously Aware

Of those previously aware of the possibility of annexation, three in five Tigard residents supported the annexation (62%). Conversely, more than half of aware Bull Mountain residents said they opposed the annexation (54%).

Previously Unaware

Of those Tigard residents not previously aware of the possibility of annexation, one-half replied "depends" or "don't know." This left neither those who support nor those who oppose in a position of majority (39% support and 8% oppose). Interestingly, unaware Bull Mountain respondents were as likely as Tigard respondents to initially support the idea of annexation (39% support).

	Tigord	Bull Mountain
	Tigard ————	
Total Participants	151	154
Strongly oppose	4%	32%
Somewhat oppose	6	12
Depends/Don't know	36	26
Somewhat support	33	20
Strongly support	19	10
Refused	2	-



Q3. Why is that?

All responses are listed below.

Strongly oppose

Tigard:

Keep in county
I don't like the idea of more people
I don't think the city has any business to touch it
Because they will subdivided

Bull Mountain:

Don't see any benefit/fine the way it is (18)

Increased taxes (12)

Increased taxes with no benefit (3)

We have septic tanks and it would cost too much to get in the sewer lines (3)

Children would be forced to change schools (2)

I don't know (2)

Its close (2)

We don't need any more homes

If you look at it now it looks like a limit town in New York, jammed up, its terrible

I don't want any new development

It just more encroachment of this area being urbanized

Tigard has enough problems and does a bad job taking care of the city

I've had some run-ins with the city of Tigard

I don't think Tigard is very efficient with their money

I don't like the way their sewer system works

I don't like Tigard government, and the way they handle money

I don't think Tigard would manage the money wisely

I bought my house here because it was not in a city

A variety of reasons

I think it is outrageous

It just more encroachment of this area being urbanized

They haven't helped us out with anything ever

Tigard, having growing pains, does not need us

Because of its originality

Somewhat oppose

Tigard:

It should be their choice whether to be annexed

Because I am afraid that we would lose the separate little city

Does it benefit us, or is it politics

I would like more information about the implications of the tax for the city of Tigard

Because of the water district

I think they should remain isolated

Too many people using Tigard's resources

The city's large enough as it is

No reason



Q3. Why is that? (continued)

Bull Mountain:

Increased taxes (6)

Don't know enough about it (4)

I don't see any benefits (4)

I don't like the density of Bull Mountain

I don't want any new development or people

The issues are unclear

I just like the surroundings compared to Tigard

My past experience with annexation wasn't positive

I do not want to be part of the city of Tigard. I would have moved there if I wanted to live there

Depends/don't know

Tigard:

I think Tigard needs to expand and Bull Mountain needs to be part of a city Don't know

Somewhat support

Tigard:

Don't know/no reason (18)

Geographic proximity (5)

Increase tax base (4)

I thought it was already annexed (2)

We are already supplying these services to them, so they might as well share the cost

Hopefully Bull Mountain residents will help with money

The kids in the school district should bind together instead of being separated

City services good

I've lived here for a long time and would like Bull Mountain to be a part of it

It is good for the community

I hope it will help Tigard grow and bring money into the city

They could stand to pay some money

I think that the city would be able to operate better having a larger area

I think the people in the area that pay property tax should have a say

Because they use city services and it is an urban zone that needs to be treated as such

It will put more people into using our facilities

Well, it wouldn't hurt the city of Tigard, to have more people in the city of Tigard

I do not care that much but if they use the services they should be part of the city of Tigard

I think they need to be part of Tigard

I don't know how the Bull Mountain people feel about it

I think Bull Mountain is a beautiful place

Past experience

Bull Mountain:

Don't know/no reason (9)

Services/Benefits (9)

I would like Tigard police (2)

To be a part of Tigard's services

I have a fear of higher taxes

Used to work for the city of Tigard



Q3. Why is that? (continued)

Because of the building out there and being apart of the city

What will it do for me in the long run

Making the services local is better than having to deal with the county; I want a say in library issue We are being taxed all over the place already. My kids are going to Tualatin schools now Its kind of already my address, and schools

Strongly support

Tigard:

Increase the tax base/Use services and should pay for them (12)

Don't know/no reason (3)

Reduce taxes

It's an area that uses the resources of the city of Tigard and therefore should be included

Because they are in our school district as well as use our services

It is a logical connection--all of Bull Mountain area attends the same schools; no purpose for an imaginary line

The people in Bull Mountain would benefit from Tigard's resources

Since they already use some services, have them be a part of the city

I think as a community they receive many of the services that we get, so they need to be apart of us

I think it's good for city growth and services

They're close enough as it is

The urbanization of the Bull Mountain area

They have always been a part of Tigard and have their phone numbers and addresses

It would cut down the expenses of government, and it is better for Tigard

Since our area was annexed they should be annexed as well

Bull Mountain:

Better services (3)

Better police protection (4)

We use most of the facilities of Tigard and I would like to have more say in the community

I would like to be part of the city of Tigard as well as take part in the benefits of being in Tigard

My land is out here and I think it will make it worth more

I do not want to be part of the city

It would be nice to have bus service

It would be nice to belong to somebody

School reasons primarily. I am a home schooler where my children would be put into Tualatin schools

We should be a part of the community more



Q4. What questions or concerns do you currently have about the annexation?

All responses are listed below.

Tigard:

No concerns at this time (94)

Not sure/don't know enough (11)

What are the pros and cons (3)

How taxes would work

It would bring in more taxes into Tigard that they could use; it would keep my taxes from being raised I want to know what it will do to our tax base as well as city services

Concerned that they aren't currently part of the tax base but use the services

I suppose it gives Tigard more taxes, but every time we annex, everything goes up, so I don't know

They have cheaper taxes there and they benefit from the services of Tigard

Want to know how to fund it

My concern is for the people of Bull Mountain and the cost they will have to endure

Cost impacts to Tigard

I'm concerned about the upkeep of the fire, water and police departments

Would we still get police and fire support

Only if it would impact the sewers

What will be done about the sewer water

I would like the same city services

To control traffic, bringing additional money, and provide parks

My concern is can the city provide adequate service for annexing. Is it going to stretch our current facilities, are we going to need more people to work

I was not really aware but hope it won't be a forcible annexation

Bull Mountain should have a say so, more than Tigard should

I have an opinion but it should be up to the bull mountain residents

What do the people up there think about it

I just know the residents don't want to be

How many people are for it

What areas will open up for parks and recreation

How much of Bull Mountain will be annexed

I do not know why it was not annexed in the first place

Very little publicity. They could have more town meetings and public involvement

Will the annexation give more money to Tigard schools

It would be too crowded

No more deer and open land. Too crowded all ready



Q4. What questions or concerns do you currently have about the annexation? (continued)

Bull mountain:

None (61)

How will it affect taxes/Don't want tax increase (39)

What would the benefits/drawbacks be/What services would we get (20)

Don't know/need more information (9)

Density/Lot size concerns (7)

The schools (2)

Police services (2)

Are we going to be taken away from Beaverton schools

What provisions they would have for students changing schools

Will it change the school boundaries

The kids go to Beaverton schools now - they would have to transfer to the Tigard schools

We need more schools

Concerned about losing the enhanced police patrol, will there be the same level of police patrol in the Bull Mountain area

I'm concerned about the Bull Mountain residents taking up water supply and such

Would there be water and sewer up here

Storm runoff and septic problems

They may force us to put in sewers

What the city intends to do to fix the area streets, parks ,etc.

If a parcel of land by us can be developed into a park, we would support annexation

I am concerned about having a recreation district in the area

I wish there could be a recreation district

Who would be responsible in maintaining Bull Mountain road

If Bull Mountain gets annexed, I hope they will put up streetlights

Street improvement

Traffic management

The traffic, there needs to be something done

Additional bureaucracy, what's going to happen with the cost/benefits ratio. Increasing the costs of taxes would be a downside The city has not made clear the benefits

Who's going to pay for the infrastructure costs

What is it going to cost

How the city address issues instead of the county

Responsibility for the debts of the city of Tigard. My experience with the city of Tigard is poor service specifically with the building department

Concerned about how the city of Tigard runs and just does things

The city of Tigard is not very responsive, they don't care about our thoughts

I don't want to be a part of Tigard

I don't want Tigard getting any more involved with us at all

Tigard has an unsound financial system

I hate Tigard. We want nothing to do with it

Depends on whether Bull Mountain residents want to be annexed

That those being annexed would want to be annexed

I don't see that we gain that much

There are no additional services that I would receive

Not sure how much it is actually effecting the environment

I think that annexation is a good thing

I would support it if it benefits Tigard

I think everything is fine I just don't want to be annexed into Tigard. I am happy the way everything is Losing Tigard



Q4. What questions or concerns do you currently have about the annexation? (continued)

I don't need another layer of government
We are unincorporated, I think that we need to have a stable place, not both Tigard/Beaverton
I would like to know where the issues started
I don't know how the city is planning the layout
When would it happen if approved
It will completely change our way of living
Impact in the quality of life
We are retired, we don't use any of Tigard's services
I think it is crazy

Washington County, Bull Mountain residents, and the City of Tigard have discussed the possibility of annexing those parts of Bull Mountain not already within city limits into the City of Tigard, making them an official part of the city's population. Such annexation would involve a number of tradeoffs. I'm going to read a list of some of those tradeoffs, and after each one I'd like to know whether it makes you more likely to <u>support</u> or to <u>oppose</u> the annexation. How would you react to the following...

Q5. Annexation would increase property taxes for Bull Mountain residents to pay for their share of city services. Does this individual statement make you more likely to <u>support</u> annexation or <u>oppose</u> it? (If necessary) Would that be <u>strongly</u> or <u>somewhat</u>... support / oppose?

Nearly three in four Tigard respondents were more likely to support the annexation after knowing about the property tax increase for Bull Mountain residents (73%). As expected, a majority of Bull Mountain respondents were more likely to oppose the annexation after learning about the proposed property tax increase (69%).

Compared to all respondents, *Bull Mountain residents* and respondents who had lived at their *current residence for 3 or fewer years* were more than likely to *strongly oppose* the annexation (53% and 22%, respectively, vs. 14% overall).

Among all respondents, those most likely to *strongly support* the annexation *have a high school* or less education, have an annual household income of under \$50,000, and/or are aged 55-64 (41%, 53%, and 47%, respectively, vs. 35% overall).

With the additional knowledge this question provides, a majority of overall respondents who initially answered *strongly oppose* maintained their opposing decision (75%), as did those who had *somewhat opposed* the annexation (56%). A majority of initial *depends/don't know* respondents became supportive (58%).

oca	

	Tigard	Bull Mountain
Total Participants	151	154
Strongly oppose Somewhat oppose Neutral/Don't know Somewhat support	7% 11 7 33	53% 16 5 20
Strongly support NA/Refused	40 1	6 -



Q6. Annexation would replace the Enhanced Sheriff's Patrol with Tigard Police for Bull Mountain and eliminate the Enhanced Sheriff Patrol tax. Does this individual statement make you more likely to <u>support</u> or <u>oppose</u> it? (If necessary) Would that be <u>strongly</u> or <u>somewhat</u>...(Depends on answer) support / oppose?

A majority of Tigard respondents were more likely to support the annexation with this knowledge (71%). Exactly half of the Bull Mountain replies were *supportive* considering the replacement of the Enhanced Sheriff Patrol tax with Tigard Police (50%).

Those most likely to *strongly oppose* included *Bull Mountain residents*, those who had lived at their current residence for *3 or fewer years*, and/or those who had voted *1 time* in the last 4 elections (23%, 16%, and 16%, respectively, vs. 9% overall).

Those most likely to *strongly support* were *previously aware* of the annexation idea and/or had an annual household income of *over* \$100,000 (38% and 44%, respectively, vs. 31% overall).

Over three in four initial *strongly oppose* respondents maintained their opposition (78%), as did a majority of initial *somewhat oppose* respondents (58%). More than two of every three initial *depends/don't know* respondents became supportive (68%).

	Tigard	Bull Mountain
Total Participants	151	154
Strongly oppose	7%	23%
Somewhat oppose	11	10
Neutral/Don't know	9	16
Somewhat support	39	28
Strongly support	32	22
NA/Refused	1	-

Q7. Annexation would include Bull Mountain residents in the tax base to help pay for Tigard's new library <u>building</u>, while all Washington County residents would <u>continue</u> to pay for library <u>services</u>. Does this individual statement make you more likely to <u>support</u> or <u>oppose</u> it? (If necessary) Would that be <u>strongly</u> or <u>somewhat</u>... (Depends on answer) support / oppose?

A majority of respondents from Tigard were more likely to *support* the annexation with this information about the library issues (79%, with 36% *somewhat support* and 43% *strongly support*). A little over half of Bull Mountain replies were also *supportive* (52%), considering the situation with the libraries.

Those most likely to *strongly oppose* were *Bull Mountain residents* and/or those aged *55-64* (27% and 18%, respectively, vs. 9% overall).

Respondents most likely to *strongly support* included those who had lived *10-19 years* in their current residence, those who *rent* their home, and/or those who had voted *3 times* in the last 4 elections (47%, 46%, 47%, and 56%, respectively, vs. 39% overall).

Half of initial *strongly oppose* respondents maintained their opposition (with 40% *strongly oppose* and 10% *somewhat oppose*), while 72% of initial *somewhat oppose* respondents switched over to being supportive. Three in every four initial *depends/don't know* respondents became supportive (75%).

	Tigard	Bull Mountain
Total Participants	151	154
Strongly oppose Somewhat oppose Neutral/Don't know	6% 5 9	27% 12 8
Somewhat support Strongly support	36 43	36 16
NA/Refused	1	1

Q8. Once annexed, new development on Bull Mountain would contribute money for the development of parks and the preservation of open-spaces. Does this individual statement make you more likely to <u>support</u> or <u>oppose</u> it? (If necessary) Would that be <u>strongly</u> or <u>somewhat</u>... (Depends on answer) support / oppose?

A vast majority of Tigard respondents were more likely to *support* the annexation when provided with the information regarding park and preservation issues (89%, with 44% *somewhat support* and 45% *strongly support*). Nearly three in five Bull Mountain respondents were more *supportive* of the annexation when they heard that new development on Bull Mountain would contribute money for the development of parks and the preservation of open-spaces (57%).

Bull Mountain residents and/or those aged 55-64 were more than likely to strongly oppose (25% and 12% vs. 6% overall).

A majority of initial *strongly oppose* respondents maintained their opposition (60%, with 33% *strongly oppose* and 27% *somewhat oppose*). However, 54% of initial *somewhat oppose* respondents switched to a supportive view and nearly nine in ten initial *depends/don't know* respondents became supportive (88%).

	T'	Bull
	Tigard	Mountain
Total Participants	151	154
Strongly oppose	3%	25%
Somewhat oppose	4	14
Neutral/Don't know	5	4
Somewhat support	44	25
Strongly support	45	32

Q9. Bull Mountain residents would begin to share the cost of providing streets and roads in Tigard, but would no longer pay Washington County's urban road maintenance or streetlight district fees. Does this individual statement make you more likely to <u>support</u> or <u>oppose</u> it? (If necessary) Would that be <u>strongly</u> or <u>somewhat</u>... (Depends on answer) support / oppose?

A vast majority of Tigard respondents were more likely to *support* the annexation when provided with information regarding road maintenance costs (82%). The most popular reply from Bull Mountain residents was *somewhat support* (32%), followed by *strongly oppose* (27%) and *neutral/don't know* (16%). This indicates that the population is likely to be split on this issue.

Those most likely to *strongly oppose* included *Bull Mountain residents*, those with *high school or less* education, and/or those age *65 or over* (27%, 13%, and 13%, respectively, vs. 6% overall).

Respondents most likely to *strongly support* included those who had *some college* education, those *without kids* in the household, and/or those aged *55-64* (35%, 34%, and 34%, respectively, vs. 28% overall).

Almost three of every four initial *strongly oppose* respondents maintained their opposition (74%, with 48% *strongly oppose* and 26% *somewhat oppose*). However, over half of initial *somewhat oppose* respondents switched to being supportive (51%), as did three in four initial *neutral/don't know* respondents (76%).

	Tigard	Bull Mountain
Total Participants	151	154
Strongly oppose Somewhat oppose	3% 5	27% 12
Neutral/Don't know	10	16
Somewhat support	51	32
Strongly support	31	12
NA/Refused	-	1

Q10. As part of Tigard, Bull Mountain residents would gain a higher level of political representation and would likely see more of their tax dollars used on local projects vs. countywide projects. Does this individual statement make you more likely to <u>support</u> or <u>oppose</u> it? (If necessary) Would that be <u>strongly</u> or <u>somewhat</u>... (Depends on answer) support / oppose?

More than three of every four Tigard respondents were more likely to *support* the annexation with this information regarding political representation (78%), while 54% of Bull Mountain respondents felt this way.

Half of initial *strongly oppose* respondents maintained their opposition (50%), as did a majority of initial *somewhat oppose* respondents (59%). Three in four initial *neutral/don't know* respondents became supportive (75%).

	Tigard	Bull Mountain
Total Participants	151	154
Strongly oppose Somewhat oppose	2% 7	19% 14
Neutral/Don't know	13	12
Somewhat support	40	29
Strongly support	38	25
NA/Refused	-	1



Q11. Annexation may occur with majority support from within Tigard, regardless of whether or not Bull Mountain residents support the idea. Does this individual statement make you more likely to <u>support</u> or <u>oppose</u> it? (If necessary) Would that be <u>strongly</u> or <u>somewhat</u>... (Depends on answer) support / oppose?

Respondents from Tigard were most likely to *somewhat oppose* (25%), followed by *strongly oppose* (23%). However, there was no majority response. Instead, replies were distributed relatively evenly across the spectrum, thus indicating the likelihood of a split population. Bull Mountain respondents were predominantly more likely to *oppose* with this information (76%).

Those most likely to *strongly oppose* annexation included *males, Bull Mountain residents*, and/or respondents with a *4-year degree* (35%, 58%, and 34%, respectively, vs. 28% overall).

Those most likely to strongly support had some college education (26% vs. 19% overall).

More than three in every four initial *strongly oppose* respondents maintained their opposition (78%, with 60% *strongly oppose* and 18% *somewhat oppose*). A majority of initial *somewhat oppose* respondents maintained their opposition (63%, with 13% *strongly oppose* and 50% *somewhat oppose*). A majority of initial *neutral/don't know* respondents became unsupportive (66%, with 37% *strongly oppose* and 29% *somewhat oppose*).

	Tigard	Bull Mountain
Total Participants	151	154
Strongly oppose	23%	58%
Somewhat oppose	25	18
Neutral/Don't know	10	9
Somewhat support	21	10
Strongly support	21	5
NA/Refused	1	-



Q12. Decisions regarding school boundaries, zoning, and density levels would stay the same for Tigard and Bull Mountain because the City already manages these issues. Does this individual statement make you more likely to <u>support</u> or <u>oppose</u> it? (If necessary) Would that be <u>strongly</u> or <u>somewhat</u>... (Depends on answer) support / oppose?

A majority of Tigard respondents were more likely to *support* the annexation knowing that the decision-making process will stay the same (70%, with 36% *somewhat support* and 34% *strongly support*). Just over half of Bull Mountain respondents were more likely to *support* the annexation with this knowledge (51%).

Those most likely to *strongly support* annexation included renters and/or those who had lived *10-19 years* at their current residence (60% and 40% vs. 32% overall).

A majority of initial *depends/don't know* respondents became supportive (57%, with 35% *somewhat support* and 22% *strongly support*).

	Tigard	Bull Mountain
Total Participants	151	154
Strongly oppose Somewhat oppose	3% 4	18% 3
Neutral/Don't know	24	28
Somewhat support Strongly support	36 34	27 24



Q13. Annexation would result in a higher level of code enforcement for Bull Mountain residents. Does this individual statement make you more likely to support or oppose it? (If necessary) Would that be strongly or somewhat... (Depends on answer) support / oppose?

A majority of Tigard respondents were more likely to *support* the annexation with this information about the level of code enforcement (59%). The most popular reply from Bull Mountain respondents was *strongly oppose* (25%), followed by *somewhat support* and *neutral* or *don't know* (21% and 20%, respectively).

Those most likely to *strongly support* annexation had an annual household income of *over* \$100,000 and/or voted 3 *times* in the last 4 elections (42% and 35%, respectively, vs. 26% overall).

A majority of initial *strongly oppose* respondents maintained their opposition (63%, with 34% *strongly oppose* and 29% *somewhat oppose*), while a majority of initial *neutral/don't know* respondents became supportive (55%).

	Tigard	Bull Mountain
Total Participants	151	154
Strongly oppose Somewhat oppose Neutral/Don't know	3% 7 31	25% 18 20
Somewhat support Strongly support NA/Refused	31 28 -	21 14 1



Q14. Now, having heard a variety of issues discussed, would you say that you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose the annexation of Bull Mountain?

After hearing about the issues, four of every five Tigard respondents were *supportive* of the annexation (80%), while a majority of Bull Mountain were still *opposed* to the annexation (53%).

Bull Mountain residents and/or respondents aged 55-64 were more likely to strongly oppose annexation, compared to their respective counterparts (37% and 18% vs. 10% overall).

Four support groups could be seen within the <u>Tigard</u> population – respondents with *higher annual household incomes*, those who were *previously aware* of the possibility of annexation, "*younger*" respondents and those *with children* in the household. Respondents in the *18-24* age group showed the highest level of post-tradeoff support (87%), which decreased to 74% among those *65 and over*. Nearly nine in ten parents with *kids at home* also showed support (88% vs. 77%).

Among Bull Mountain residents, no statistically valid demographic differences appeared to differentiate supporters from non-supporters.

A majority of initial *strongly oppose* respondents maintained their opposition (76%), as did a majority of initial *somewhat oppose* respondents (61%). A little more than two in every three initial *depends/don't know* respondents became supportive (67%), while a vast majority of initial *somewhat and strongly support* respondents maintained their support (95% and 92%, respectively).

Location

	Tigard	Bull Mountain
Total Participants	151	154
Strongly oppose Somewhat oppose	5% 9	37% 16
Depends/Don't know	6	10
Somewhat support	43	29
Strongly support	37	8



All responses are listed below.

Strongly oppose

Tigard:

The issue that it could be decided weather or not Bull Mountain wants to or not Being in city limits

The free exercise of the Bull Mountain rights, they should be the ones to say they want to be annexed Taxes to the City of Tigard

I'm afraid it would increase my share of taxes

The environment being able to stay less crowded

I'm not well read on this problem

Bull Mountain:

Higher taxes (21)

None (8)

School issues (4)

Quality of service provided (3)

Additional costs (3)

The issue of increasing the tax base

The Tigard residents can vote it in without my approval--that is not right

Using the increased tax revenue for non-essential things like parks, recreation and libraries is not necessary; we need a smaller, not a larger, government

I would pay the taxes if I would receive the value, but there is no value

Code enforcement; Tigard police in Bull Mountain; cost of streets; new Tigard library

Tigard city hall is not efficient at all

Do not want another layer of government

The addition of another bureaucracy

Control of city

And the city would be involved with how we live, I am happy the way things are, And I love my privacy, And if we become part of the city we lose all control

Laws, I just don't like the way Tigard handles things

I don't like the way Tigard handles money, and I don't care for the city services

I don't like the idea of having our neighborhood now turning into an apartment; it is just getting ridiculous

My biggest issue is with the city's road department I think it should only be up to Bull Mountain weather they should be annexed

Oppose it because I think there putting parks, schools in front of everything

Tigard can go to hell

It's all about the money, why should we have to pay for something that's not better

I have worked with the City of Tigard in the past

I hope it never happens

I don't think it will help me at all

I like having the farmland designation on Bull Mountain I like it zoned the way it is

The businesses that are allowed in residential areas - the whole mess is a joke

Like it the way it is

We like the way it is now under Washington County

Don't know/Need more information



I would have to find out what increases will be made and what services I possibly will lose

I've considered the questions and I feel that we receive enough benefits for what we pay and oppose any annexation

Somewhat oppose

Tigard:

Taxes (4)

Money--it's not fair that they are going to make Bull Mountain residents pay for this (2)

Schools and police

I think Tigard has enough issues to worry about before annexing Bull Mountain

I don't like Tigard planning; it allows too much development

Bull Mountain should have total say in weather they should be annexed or not

I think it should be up to Bull Mountain residents

I don't see that the financial benefits are equivalent to the increased population density and development of Bull Mountain

Its family that has farms that don't want to be annexed, I seen enough farms destroyed None in particular

Bull Mountain:

Tax increases (6)

None (4)

Need more information – cost/pros and cons (4)

Density/There's been way too much development already (3)

Mainly my decision is based on value, I don't mind paying higher taxes, but I need to know if it is actually worth it

It needs a real comparison, pros and cons. I need to look into further before I decide

None in particular, except I don't know if I like the city services

The city of Tigard gets to make the decision of whether or not we are annexed. That's the hardest part for me to understand. Nothing changes for them, only for us

The sheriff provides better coverage than the Tigard Police. Also, I have questions regarding the sewer issue

Services

The parks and the tree issues

In my past experience it wasn't a fair trade

I'm completely in favor

Depends/Don't know

Tigard:

None (3)

I'm in favor of whatever Bull Mountain residents want

I'm still neutral about the whole thing

I don't think I have enough information to decide

The people of Bull Mountain should have the right to vote for the annexation themselves I don't have an opinion

I would have to know about what the Bull Mountain people think.



Bull Mountain:

How much will my tax increase (4)

The ratio of tax increase vs. service increase

I don't know it depends on the amount of money

I would like to see some type of mailing on the information that would address these issues - the people that would be affected by this

I think that the parks would be good

Don't blow a lot of money on open spaces

It would be nice if the will of the people could override the politicians

Will the school boundaries stay the same

Will it impact our utilities--who will take care of them

What benefits would I receive for being annexed as a property owner

All of them

Some support

Tigard:

None (18)

Tax issues (9)

Bull Mountain residents should have a say in the matter (9)

Tax base (6)

Since they benefit from the services of the city of Tigard they should pay for them (4)

I want to know if the population of Bull Mountain would oppose it, and what would be their reasons Why does the city think it should be annexed in

I think the main thing that makes me support it would be that school districts stay the same and that their tax dollars go toward local improvements instead county projects

I feel bad, that the deer that used to run in my front yard, now don't come here any more

Environmental question to provide parks and green space

I'm retired and I really enjoy open spaces and parks.

I'm concerned that we are going to stretch our town too big, although I understand we have more people supporting city services

The people being incorporated recognizing the benefits of annexation; it would be beneficial because they are close to Tigard

They're close enough to Tigard to be a part of it

I think Bull Mountain should be more involved with Tigard

Make sure Bull Mountain has full rights and privileges

Concerned about extra burden on Tigard police

It would be a win win situation, if they were to become one

Political representation is important and I don't think we should not be spending 14 million on the Tigard library.

Neither - all the issues are important

Need a lot more information, where money is coming from, how big of annexation

Codes

Bull Mountain:

Tigard police in Bull Mountain (10) Money for parks (9) None (7)



How much are my taxes going to go up (5)

Additional services (4)

Streets and roads (4)

The new library (3)

Schools issues (3)

I want the school district to stay the same (2)

I would like to see more of my tax dollars put into public education (2)

Code enforcement will be better (2)

School boundaries should change

School boundaries

I don't want property taxes increased. The tax dollar would stay in the area

Tax dollars going to the local area instead of the county

I just want to see the area stay the same as much as possible, but I am for doing my part for the services I benefit from

How effective of spending

I also think both towns should be cohesive

My concern would be that we should have a say in the matter

Need more information about this

Can we get streetlights

The way the city manages the density levels

The development of Bull Mountain

I think the counties are out of control in regulation and I am in favor of local control

Generally better property value as a result

They are all equally important

Strongly support

Tigard:

None (19)

Tax base increase (12)

If they're going to use the services, they should be part of the city and pay their fair share of the load (6)

Tigard police/fire in Bull Mountain (5)

Bull Mountain needs to be involved in streets and road improvements (4)

Part of the community geographically (3)

Tax money for parks (2)

Bull Mountain should pay for the new library (2)

School boundaries

I support the schools; they should have not closed the schools in Tigard in poorer districts for the Bull Mountain schools

Schools

I think that they need to be apart of the community both socially and economically.

All of the issues matter, I think they're all good ideas

Only if the bull mountain people agree

Giving Tigard the growth

I believe that annexing Bull Mountain would benefit the city of Tigard

I thought they were already annexed

I hope I don't have to pay too many taxes

Will maintenance work be done on Bull Mountain Road or other side streets

More everything



Bull Mountain:

The police protection (4)

All of the services available (3)

I would like to know the difference in coverage of Tigard police vs. enhanced sheriff patrols

To have the bus service in the area

My only concern is that my kids will still go to Beaverton

School boundaries are my number one issue - when is it being discussed

Road maintenance

The decision to concentrate their tax money locally

I like the library that we will be using

I want to more of a part of the community, I think we should pull together more



DEMOGRAPHICS

Q16. How do you generally learn what's going on in local government? (Unaided – Multiple responses allowed)

	Location	
	Tigard	Bull Mountain
Total Participants	151	154
Oregonian	56%	57%
Tigard Times	48	38
TV News	22	23
Cityscape Newsletter	21	18
Word-of-mouth	20	16
County newsletters	5	5
Internet/Web	2	3
KUIK Radio	2	1
NPR/OPB	1	3
Public access TV	3	-
Don't know	-	5
Refused	1	3
Miscellaneous	7	6

Miscellaneous:

Town Hall meetings (3)

AM 620 (2)

KEX 1190 (2)

Portland Tribune (2)

AM 750

KPAM (radio)

860

Neighborhood newsletter

Senior center newsletter

Regal currier

Wall Street Journal

City Council meetings

CPO monthly

I ignore local government

I participate

My wife

Personal involvement

We have a lawyer who attends every meeting and keeps us informed



Q17. How long have you lived at your current residence?

	Loc	cation
	Tigard	Bull Mountain
Total Participants	151	154
3 years or less 4-9 years 10-19 years 20 years or more Refused	11% 30 26 32 1	20% 36 22 18 3

Q18. And do you rent or own your home?

	Loc	cation
	Tigard	Bull Mountain
Total Participants	151	154
Rent Own Refused	11% 87 2	6% 90 4

Q19. What is the highest level of education you have had the opportunity to complete?

	Loc	eation
- -	Tigard	Bull Mountain
Total Participants	151	154
High School or less Some College/Trade Schoo 4-year College degree Graduate School/more Refused	17% I 22 39 20 3	7% 23 47 18 5

Q20. Do you have children under age 18 living in your household?

	Loc	cation
	Tigard	Bull Mountain
Total Participants	151	154
Yes No Refused	32% 66 1	42% 55 3

Q21. And finally, if you don't mind my asking, into which of the following categories does your annual household income fall? (Read list)

Bull Mountain 154	
15/	
154	
1% 6 11 18 16 10	
	18 16

Gender

	Lo	cation
	Tigard	Bull Mountain
Total Participants	151	154
Female Male	59% 41	52% 48

Age

	Location	
	Tigard	Bull Mountain
Total Participants	151	154
18-24	3%	2%
25-34	7	7
35-44	15	20
45-54	25	30
55-64	17	24
65+	31	17
Refused	1	-

Voter precinct (From list):

	Location	
	Tigard	Bull Mountain
Total Participants	151	154
397	-	50%
400	9	-
402	2	-
403	18	-
404	15	-
405	15	-
406	13	-
408	17	-
409	6	-
410	-	36
414	-	14
416	6	-

Record times voted (Among General and Primary, 1998 & 2000)

	Loc	cation
	Tigard	Bull Mountain
Total Participants	151	154
One (1)	13%	18%
Two (2)	11	16
Three (3)	23	27
Four (4)	47	32
None (0)	7	8
Mean	3.1	2.8

APPENDIX

City of Tigard / Washington County Bull Mountain Annexation Public Attitude Survey

July 9, 2002 (Version 4 - Final)

Introduction
Hello, my name is of Riley Research Associates, a local polling firm. Is available? We are calling on behalf of the City of Tigard and Washington County to listen to your thoughts regarding the potential annexation of the Bull Mountain neighborhood into the City of Tigard, including those areas of Bull Mountain not already within the city limits. (IF NECESSARY) Are you 18 or older? (IF UNDER 18) Is there an adult available? (IF NO TIME) When would be a good time to call back?
ENTER VOTER PRECINCT (FROM LIST): *410 will only partially be included.
(IF TIGARD) According to our records, you currently reside within the boundaries of the City of Tigard. Is that correct? (IF NO – POLITELY DISCONTINUE) Yes –1
(IF BULL MOUNTAIN) According to our records, you currently reside in the unincorporated Bull Mountain area. Is that correct? (IF NO – POLITELY DISCONTINUE) Yes –1
(IF BULL MOUNTAIN AND PRECINCT #410) May I have your nearest cross streets?
Awareness
Q1. Before this call, were you aware that the City of Tigard and Washington County were exploring the idea of Tigard annexing the Bull Mountain area?
Yes –1 No –2 Refused -9
Q2. (IF AWARE) From what you may have heard (IF UNAWARE) would you say that you strongly support, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose the annexation of Bull Mountain?
Strongly support –5 Some support –4 (Depends/Don't Know) –3 Some oppose –2 Strongly oppose –1 (Ref) -9
Q3 Why is that?
Q4 What questions or concerns do you currently have about the annexation?
Scenario Testing

Washington County, Bull Mountain residents, and the City of Tigard have discussed the possibility of annexing those parts of Bull Mountain not already within city limits into the City of Tigard, making them an official part of the city's population. Such annexation would involve a number of tradeoffs. I'm going to read a list of some of those tradeoffs, and after each one I'd like to know whether it makes you more likely to support or oppose the annexation.

How would you react to the following ... (READ AND ROTATE LIST) Does this individual statement make you more likely to <u>support</u> annexation or <u>oppose</u> it? (AFTER EACH) Would that be <u>strongly</u> or <u>somewhat</u> (support/oppose)?



	Strong Support	Some Support	Neutral/ DK	Some Oppose	Strong Oppose	NA/ Refused
Q5. Annexation would increase property taxes for Bull Mountain residents to pay for their share of city services.	5	4	3	2	1	9
Q6. Annexation would replace the Enhanced Sheriff's Patrol with Tigard police for Bull Mountain and eliminate the Enhanced Sheriff Patrol tax	5	4	3	2	1	9
Q7. Annexation would include BM residents in the tax base to help pay for Tigard's new library building, while all Washington County residents would continue to pay for library services	5	4	3	2	1	9
Q8. Once annexed, new development on BM would contribute money for the development of parks and preservation of open-spaces	5	4	3	2	1	9
Q9. Bull Mountain residents would begin to share the cost of providing streets and roads in Tigard, but would no longer pay Washington County's urban road maintenance or streetlight district fees	5	4	3	2	1	9
Q10. As part of Tigard, BM residents would gain a higher level of political representation and would likely see more of their tax dollars used on local projects vs. countywide projects	5	4	3	2	1	9
Q11. Annexation may occur with majority support from within Tigard, regardless of whether or not Bull Mountain residents support the idea	5	4	3	2	1	9
Q12. Decisions regarding school boundaries, zoning, and density levels would stay the same for Tigard and BM because the City already manages these issues	5	4	3	2	1	9
Q13. Annexation would result in a higher level of code enforcement for BM residents	5	4	3	2	1	9

Q14. Now, having heard a variety of the issues discussed, would you say that you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose the annexation of Bull Mountain?

Strongly support -5 Some support -4 (Depends/Don't Know) -3 Some oppose -2 Strongly oppose -1 (Ref) -9

Q15. Which of the issues are most important to your decision? (Or) What other comments or questions do you have?



Communications & Demographics

Q16	3. How do you gener	ally learn w	hat's going on in lo	ocal governme	nt? (DO NOT REA	D LIST)
	Tigard Times Noticias en	-01 -02	Word-of-mouth Internet/Web	-06 -07	KKCW/K103 NPR/OPB	-11 -12
	Espanol Oregonian	-03	KUIK Radio	-08	Cityscape Newsletter	-13
	El Hispanic County newsletters	-04 -05	Public access TV News	ΓV -09 -10	Don't know Refused	-18 -19
					Other (list):	-20
	7. How long have yo r/"99"=Ref)	u lived at yo	ur current residen	ce?y	rears ("01" for <one< td=""><td>9</td></one<>	9
Q18	3. And do you rent o	r own your h	nome? Rent –1	Own –2	Refused –9	
Q19	9. What is the highe	st level of e	ducation you have	had the oppor	tunity to complete?	?
	High school or less Some college/trade	-1 -2	4-year College Graduate school/mor	-3 re -4	Refused -9	
Q20	Do you have child	dren under a	ige 18 living in you	ur household?	Yes –1 No –2	Refused -9
	1. And finally, if you sehold income fall?		•	nich of the follow	wing categories do	es your annua
	Under \$25,000 -1 \$25-\$50,000 -2		75,000 -3 100,000 -4	\$100-\$150,000 Over \$150,000		(/ Refused -9
	at concludes our su to thank you very	•	•	•	Washington Cou	nty, I would
Q22	2. RECORD GENDE	ER (Don't as	k) ₂₇₅ : Female –	- 1 Male – 2		
Q23	3. RECORD AGE: 18-24 -1 25-3	34 -2 35	5-44 -3 45-54	-4 55-64 -	5 65+ -6 Re	fused -9
Q24	4. RECORD TIMES	VOTED (In	General & Primary	/, 1998 & 2000):	
Pho	one number:			_		
Inte	erviewer name:					

